

## 2021 Site F Landfill Annual Inspection Report

Texas Municipal Power Agency Gibbons Creek Steam Electric Station Anderson, Texas Project # 6703200070

Prepared for:

**Texas Municipal Power Agency** PO Box 7000, Bryan, TX 77805

28 January 2021



Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc. 17325 Park Row Houston, TX 77084, USA T: 832-809-2635 www.woodplc.com

28 January 2021

2020 CCR Landfill Annual Inspection Report Site F Landfill Texas Municipal Power Agency Gibbons Creek Steam Electric Station Anderson, Texas

#### Dear Mr. Meadows,

As requested by the Texas Municipal Power Agency (TMPA), Wood Environment and Infrastructure Solutions, Inc. (Wood) is submitting this Annual Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) Landfill Inspection Report (Report) to summarize observations of the Site F CCR Landfill (Site F Landfill) located at the TMPA Gibbons Creek SES station and performed on December 9, 2020. The inspection was performed in accordance with 40 CFR 257.84(b) Annual Inspections by a licensed engineer.

Wood values our long-standing partnership with TMPA, and we thank you for this opportunity. Please contact us at your convenience with questions.

Sincerely,

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.

Reviewed by:

Erik Friede, P.E. Geotechnical Engineer

Attachments: 2020 Annual Inspection Report Appendix 1 – Figures Appendix 2 – Photographic Log

Mark Breitnauer, P.E. Senior Geotechnical Engineer

Mark J. Breitnauer 83. 83085 CENSEP

The seal appearing on this document was authorized by Mark J. Breitnauer, P.E. on 1/28/2021.





## 2021 Site F Landfill Annual Inspection Report

Texas Municipal Power Agency Project Location Gibbons Creek Steam Electric Station 12824 FM 244 Road, Anderson, TX 77830

Project # 6703200070

**Prepared for:** 

Mr. Daniel Meadows

Texas Municipal Power Agency PO Box 7000, Bryan, TX 77805

Prepared by:

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc. 17325 Park Row Houston, TX 77084, USA T: 832-809-2635

28 January 2021



This document represents the detailed inspection report summarizing observations made including site photographs taken during the annual inspection of the Site F Landfill (SFL), located at the Gibbons Creek Steam Electric Station (GCSES or plant), operated by the Texas Municipal Power Agency (TMPA). The inspection was conducted in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR 257.84(b) annual inspections, by a professional engineer. The inspection included a review of available information and a visual inspection to identify signs of distress, or malfunction of the Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) landfill. We understand that the last documented placement of material into the SFL occurred sometime around January 2019, after GCSES was mothballed following the 2018 summer production season.

A professional engineer from Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc. (Wood) and TMPA staff performed the 2021 annual inspection on December 9, 2020. Our review and observations resulted in comments for maintenance (comments). These comments were communicated to TMPA personnel verbally during the inspection visit. Our inspection of the SFL did not identify areas of settlement, depressions, movement, bulges, change in geometry, significant slope failures or other conditions that would adversely affect the integrity of the landfill.

#### **Annual Inspection Requirements**

The SFL is subject to annual inspection by a qualified engineer, pursuant to 40 CFR §257.84(b)(1), "...to ensure that the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the CCR unit is consistent with recognized and generally accepted good engineering standards". The inspection components are, as follows:

- 40 CFR §257.84 (b)(1)(i) A review of available information regarding the status and condition of the CCR unit, including, but not limited to, files available in the operating record (e.g., the results of inspections by a qualified person, and results of previous annual inspections).
- 40 CFR §257.84 (b)(1)(ii) A visual inspection of the CCR unit to identify signs of distress or malfunction of the CCR unit.

The results of the inspection must be documented pursuant to the inspection reporting requirements of 40 CFR §257.84 (b)(2):

*(i)* Any changes in geometry of the structure since the previous annual inspection.

*(ii)* The approximate volume of CCR contained in the unit at the time of the inspection

(iii) Any appearances of an actual or potential structural weakness of the CCR unit, in addition to any existing conditions that are disrupting or have the potential to disrupt the operation and safety of the CCR unit. (iv) Any other change(s) which may have affected the stability or operation of the CCR unit since the previous annual inspection.

The frequency of inspections at the SFL is pursuant to 40 CFR §257.84 (b)(4), as follows:

The owner or operator of the CCR unit must conduct the inspection required by paragraphs (b)(1) and (2) of this section on an annual basis. The date of completing the initial inspection report is the basis for establishing the deadline to complete the first subsequent inspection. Any required inspection may be conducted prior to the required deadline provided the owner or operator places the completed inspection report into the facility's operating record within a reasonable amount of time. In all cases, the deadline for completing subsequent inspection reports is based on the date of completing the previous inspection report. For purposes of this section, the owner or operator has completed an inspection when the inspection report has been placed in the facility's operating record as required by §257.105(g)(9).

### 40 CFR §257.84 (b)(1)(i) Review of Available Information

Wood reviewed the published information available on the TMPA website to promote understanding of the SFL history and existing conditions to support this reporting effort. We understand that the SFL was constructed by TMPA in 1990 and expanded in 1995 to increase the capacity for the disposal of CCR solid wastes generated by the GCSES. We understand the majority of the SFL was capped in 1996, after the plant was converted from locally mined lignite to Wyoming's PRB coal, and PRB combustion by-products have been placed in the uncapped portion (Active Area) through January of 2019.

Additional documents provided by TMPA were also reviewed:

- Site F Landfill and Scrubber Sludge Pond Maintenance Actions
- Scope of Work, Maintenance Repairs, Site A Landfill, Site F Landfill, and Gibbons Creek Reservoir
- Weekly Inspection reports for the SFL

#### 40 CFR §257.84 (b)(1)(ii) Visual Inspection

Wood performed the SFL Annual Inspection in conjunction with Mr. Daniel Meadows, TMPA Compliance Specialist and Savannah Quiros, TMPA Compliance Co-Op. The goal of the inspection was to evaluate if the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the Site F Landfill is consistent with recognized and generally accepted good engineering standards and practices.

This inspection was performed on Wednesday, December 9, 2020. The inspection consisted of visual observations of the SFL to identify signs of distress or malfunction

including appearances of actual or potential structural weaknesses and other conditions which are disrupting or have the potential to disrupt the operation or safety of the SFL. The inspection team performed a walking reconnaissance including the configuration/layout of the stormwater management systems and general operations. The landfill area covered by this annual inspection is shown in Figure 1 in Appendix 1. Photographs representing typical conditions of observations were taken as part of the inspection. These photographs are included in Appendix 2, and the approximate locations and orientation of each photograph are shown in Figure 1.

The SFL has two main areas: The Cover Area and the Active Area. The Cover Area consists of a vegetated clay cap and covers approximately 80 acres as previously reported and measured using Google Earth. The Cover Area is irregular in shape generally running in an east to west orientation with the western portion extending further to the south than the eastern portion (refer to Figure 1). We understand that CCR has not been placed in this area since the cap was installed in 1996.

The Active Area of the SFL is adjacent to the Cover Area on the southeast side and covers approximately 15 acres, as measured using Google Earth. The Active Area has a general southwest to northeast orientation. The northeast portion was retaining rain-on water at the time of the inspection. GCSES is not currently in operation and we understand no new CCR material has been placed in the Active Area since January 2019.

Stormwater is controlled with a series of vegetated and fabric formed concrete-lined channels that convey surface runoff from the Cover Area to the stormwater retention areas located on the west and northeast (SFL Pond 1) sides of the landfill and intercept surface water run-on from areas outside the Active Area. SFL Pond 1 has a principal spillway consisting of a concrete riser equipped with a trash rack, and a concrete outlet pipe emptying onto a concrete outlet apron. Stormwater run-off directed to the south from the Cover Area is diverted by a swale and diversion berm that borders the northwest portion of the Active Area, which is intended to prevent runoff from entering the Active Area. Water that falls on CCR materials appears to be generally contained within the Active Area.

Based on our field inspection, the operation and maintenance of the SFL appeared to be in accordance with generally good engineering standards and practices. The Active Area and the access roads surrounding the SFL were adequately watered for dust control.

Our field inspection did identify 9 typical maintenance items (e.g., erosional areas, animal activity, rutting, etc.) which were communicated verbally to TMPA personnel.

Additional discussion pertaining to the visual observations, with recommendations where applicable, is provided, as follows:

#### Impounded CCR Material in Active Area

Apparent CCR material was observed on the access road that separates the active area from the adjacent stormwater water retention area (Appendix 2, Photo 1). The material appears to be a result of vehicle traffic entering and exiting this area, but the berm separating the CCR material from the access road is not well defined. The berm should be regraded.

#### Vegetation

Overall, the SFL's vegetative ground cover appeared to be adequately maintained as evidenced by the established vegetation on the slopes and the covered portion of the landfill. Vegetation at the northern portion of the SFL was observed to be longer than other areas (Appendix 2, Photo 15). The length of the vegetation obscures observation of the embankment condition in this area.

Minor vegetation was observed in the Active Area and appears to be properly maintained. Woody vegetation should continue to be removed from the interior slopes during regular maintenance operations.

#### Erosion

No areas of major erosion were observed at the time of inspection. Evidence of erosion was observed at various locations on the embankment slopes of the Cover Area and the Active Area (Appendix 2, Photo 2, Photo 4 and Photo 5). These areas and other areas with exposed soil should be regraded and seeded to allow vegetation growth and prevent further progression of erosion in these areas.

#### Rutting

The access roads and cover area were observed to be in a well-maintained condition. One area of rutting was observed on the access road bordering the southeast side of SFL Pond 3 (Appendix 2, Photo 13). This area should be backfilled, compacted, and regraded to prevent water from ponding at the crest of the embankment.

#### **Storm Water Management**

The stormwater management systems of the SFL appear to be in generally good working order. Stormwater management around the SFL consists of diversion berms and swales and concrete-lined spillways directing water from the Cover Area and diverting water from entering the Active Area. Stormwater is directed to Pond 1 and an unnamed stormwater retention area via concrete-lined spillways and drainage swales. Vegetation growing in portions of the concrete-lined spillways should continue to be removed as part of TMPA's routine maintenance operations (Appendix 2, Photo 16 and 17).

The ditch bordering the northeastern corner of the Active Area directs water to the stormwater detention area adjacent to the active area to the southwest. Erosion rills were observed on the downstream side of the stormwater berm at the northeast corner of the Active Area (Appendix 2, Photo 2 and Photo 3). The swale in this area is not well defined and should be regraded to adequately capture runoff and prevent further erosion.

#### **Animal Activity**

Animal activity (primarily animal burrows and cow paths) was observed at various locations along the landfill. TMPA has taken measures to mark the location of existing animal burrows for monitoring and future repair (Appendix 2, Photo 7 and 8). We recommend that the burrows be filled with compacted soil, cement grout, or other impermeable material to prevent progression into the embankment. Future burrows should be addressed in a timely fashion as they are observed.

With regard to cow paths, current efforts are in place to force the cows to change paths periodically to avoid deterioration of the vegetation along these paths (Appendix 2, Photo 9). Remedial efforts were observed in the form of tires, and barbed wire across existing paths. These efforts appear to be an effective method to redirect cows. In addition to the current fixes, we recommend that these areas of previous cow paths continue to be re-graded and seeded to promote soil growth and prevent erosion in areas with exposed soil once the barriers are relocated.

#### **Corrugated Plastic Pipes**

Plastic pipes were observed at various locations on the embankment slopes of the Cover Area. No change was observed in these structures since the previous inspection. We recommend that these pipes be removed, backfilled with compacted soil, and seeded. If they cannot be removed, we recommend that they are filled with cement grout to prevent potential animal activity and/or the migration of water into the slope. At a minimum, gates or some type of barrier should be installed at the exposed ends to prevent animals from entering these pipes.

Representative photos of the typical condition of the SFL at the time of inspection and maintenance items are provided in Appendix 2. Approximate photo locations are presented in Figure 1 in Appendix 1.

#### Conclusions

The results of the annual inspection of the SFL, as described herein, is provided in the following table:

Regulatory Citations	SFL
40 CFR §257.84 (b)(2) (i) - Any	No changes in the CCR unit
changes in geometry of the structure	geometry were noted.
since the previous annual inspection.	
40 CFR §257.84 (b)(2) (ii) - (ii) - The approximate volume of CCR contained in the unit at the time of the inspection.	In 2016, the approximate volume of ash material located at the SFL at the time of the inspection was 7,370,000 cubic yards. Since that time, an additional estimated 28,346 tons have been placed into the Active Area. No new CCR material has been placed in the Active area since January of 2019. TMPA records indicate that 4,200 tons of material was removed from the active area in 2020.
40 CFR §257.84 (b)(2)(iii) Any	No such conditions were
appearances of an actual or potential	identified.
structural weakness of the CCR unit, in	

addition to any existing conditions that are disrupting or have the potential to disrupt the operation and safety of the CCR unit.	
40 CFR §257.84 (b)(2)(iv) - Any other change(s) which may have affected the stability or operation of the CCR unit since the previous annual inspection.	No such conditions were noted.

Our inspection of the SFL indicates the operation and maintenance of the SFL is consistent with recognized and generally accepted good engineering standards and practices. Areas of settlement, depressions, movement, bulges, change in geometry, or significant slope failures were not observed during our field inspection.

APPENDIX 1 – FIGURE 1 SITE MAP AND OBSERVATIONS



**APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF ANNUAL INSPECTION** PERFORMED DECEMBER 9, 2020

16

11 3 12

## SFLPOND 1

## APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF SFLACTIVE AREA

# Site F Landfill (SFL) Annual Inspection

Site Map and Observations

Prepared by/Date: JCD 1/13/2021 Checked by/Date EF 1/13/2021 Project Number: 6703200070



Figure Number: 1

**APPENDIX 2 – OBSERVATIONS AND PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG** 

Landfill Componer	nt	Observed No	Comment No.
Liner			
Temporary or Permanent Soil Cover		Yes	3, 4
Storm Water Control	Concrete Lined Stormwater Drainage	Yes	8
	Drainage Swales	Yes	2
	SFL Pond 1	Yes	4
	SFL Pond 3	Yes	2
Cover Area Slopes	Landfill Slopes	Yes	3, 4, 7, 9
Active Area	Interior Slopes	Yes	
	Exterior Slopes	Yes	3, 4, 6
	Impounded CCR Material	Yes	1
Roads, Culverts		Yes	5

Comment No.	Description	Photo No.
1	Apparent CCR material observed on access road at the southwest corner of Pond 3. Regrade ditch to prevent material being transported from active area from vehicle traffic or heavy rain.	1
2	Erosion rills observed adjacent to drainage swale bordering the northeast corner of Pond 3. Drainage swale not well defined in this area.	2, 3
3	Erosional features and sparse vegetation observed at various locations on the exterior embankments of the SFL, Pond 3, and the Cover Area.	4, 5, 6
4	Indicators of animal activity observed at various locations on the embankments of the Cover and Active Areas.	7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
5	Rutting observed on access road bordering Pond 3.	13
6	Soft area observed at toe of embankment, possible due to periodic movement of the HDPE Pipe or a low area.	14
7	Length of vegetation on northwest portion of SFL Embankment obscures visual observation of the embankment.	15
8	Vegetation growing through fabric formed concrete lining in drainage channels and stormwater spillways.	16, 17
9	Corrugated plastic pipes (approximately 18" diameter) observed at various locations at the toe of the bench slopes in the Cover Area. Often infilled with soil and vegetation.	No changes observed.

wood.

	Date & Time: Wed. Dec 09, 2020, 08:45:36 CST
Matorial	Position: +030.634918° / -096.068835° (±17.4ft)
	Altitude: 284ft (±19.4ft) Datum: WGS-84
	Azimuth/Bearing: 019° N19E_0338mils Magnetic (±13°) Elevation Angle06.8°
:	Haritzon Angle: +00.1*
•	
CR ss road nwater dicative f exiting	
	Date & Time: Wed. Dec 09, 2020, 08:59:16 CST
agement	Position: +030.636504° / -096.064094° (±25.5ft) Altitude: 280ft (±26.2ft)
ate:	Datum: WGS-84
2/9/2020	Azimuth/Bearing: 324° N36W 5760mils Magnetic (±12°) Elevation Angle: -01.6°
:	Horizon Angle: -01.7° Zoom: 1.0X
9	
	The set of
-	and the second of the Add
	Agement /9/2020



Charles		
Structure:		Date & Time West Dec 09, 2020, 09,02,52,057
SFL Active Are		Date & Time: Wed, Dec 09, 2020, 09:02:53 CST Position: +030.636731° / -096.063960° (±30.7ft)
Stormwater M		Altitude: 285ft (±17.0ft) Datum: WGS-84
Photo No.:	Date:	Azimuth/Bearing: 305° N55W 5422mils Magnetic (±12°)
3	12/9/2020	Elevation Angle: -03.0° Horizon Angle: -00.5°
Photo Direct	ion:	Zoom: 1.0X
NW		
<b>Description:</b> Photograph of berm along n portion of Por Diversion bern defined, as ev erosion rills o below this are 2).	orthern nd 3. m not well idenced by bserved	
Structure: SFL Embankm Exterior Slope Photo No.:		Date & Time: Wed. Dec 09, 2020, 09:21:42 CST Position: +030.637465° / -096.063520° (±15.8ft) Altitude: 305ft (±10.7ft) Datum: WGS-84 Arimeuth/Brazinga, 092° S275, 1452mile, Magnetic (±10°)
4	12/9/2020	Azimuth/Bearing: 093° S87E 1653mils Magnetic (±10°) Elevation Angle: +01.5°
<b>Photo Direct</b> E	ion:	Horizon Angle: -00.9° Zoom: 1.0X
<b>Description:</b> Typical photo observed at the embankment.	ne eastern	



Structure:		
Active Area		Date & Time: Wed, Dec 09, 2020, 10:31:30 CST
Exterior Emba	nkmont	Position: +030.635034° / -096.066145° (±46.1ft)
Photo No.:	Date:	Altitude: 267ft (±20.2ft) Datum: WGS-84
		Azimuth/Bearing: $022^{\circ}$ N22E 0391mits Magnetic ( $\pm 12^{\circ}$ )
5	12/9/2020	Elevation Angle: +05.3° Horizon Angle: -02.2°
<b>Photo Direct</b> N	ion:	Zoom: 1.0X
<b>Description:</b>		
Typical photo observed, with soil.		
Structure: SFL Cover Area Photo No.: 6	<b>Date:</b> 12/9/2020	Date & Time: Wed, Dec 09, 2020, 09,39-26 CST Position: +030.639230" / -096.068493" (±23.8ft) Altitude: 346ft (±23.9ft) Datum: W66-84
Photo Direct	ion:	Azimuth/Bearing: 1511* S29E-2684mils Magnetic (±12*) Elevation Angle: -18.3* Horizon Angle: +00.2*
		Zoom: 1.0X
<b>Description:</b> Rutting and ex soil observed area at NW cc cover area. Po of animal activ	in depressed orner of ssibly result	



Position030-640618 076 0688 Altifuide: 296 nu119-110 Datum WGS-84 Asimuthy Bearing: 0071 S89E 1618 Elevation: Angle0077 Horizon: Angle00777 Horizon: Angle00777 H	Datum, WGS-84 Asimuth/Bearing-091/, <b>S89E,1418mils,Magnetic</b> (±12) Elevadon Angle, -09,7 Horizon Angle, +01,7
Altifude: 296 ft (s. 19. 1ft) Datum: WGS-82 Astmuth/Bearring-091 S89E, 1613 Evzation: Angle - 4017 Horizon: Angle - 4017 Zoom-140X	Altifude: 298ft (+19.1ft) Datum: WGS-84 Astmuth: Beering: 091 ( \$89E, 1618mils: Magnetic (+12) Elevation: Angle: -09.7 Horizon: Angle: +01.9
Astruutiv Bearing 201 S91E 1618 Elevation Angle -00.7 Horizon Angle +01. Zoom-1/40X Date & Time, Wed, Dec 09, 2020, 1 Position +030 634866 // -096.0694 Altifude: 27511(+18.21)4 Datum, WGS -84 Aziroutiv/Bearing, 052 - N52E 092	Azimuth/Bearing-091 <b>599E 1618mils Magnetic (e</b> 12) Elevation Angle, c07 7 Horizon Angle, +01,9
Horizon Angle +017 Zoems1408	Horizon-Angle: +01.9
Date & Time, Wed, Dec 09, 2020, 1 Position: +030.634866 // -096.0692 Altitude: 275ft(=18.21); Datum: WG5.84 Azimuth/Bearing: 052; N52E, 092	
Position: +030.634866* / -096.069/ Altitude: 275ft (+18.2ft) Datum: WGS-84 Azimuth/Bearing: 052, N52E.092	
Position: +030.634866" / -096.069/ All/tude: 275ft (+18.2ft) Datum: WGS-84 Azimuth/Bearing: 052, N52E.092	
Position: +030.634866" / -096.069/ Altitude: 275H (+18.2H) Datum: WGS-84 Azimuth/Bearing: 052: N52E 092	
n +030 634866 / -096 069 275ft (+18.2ft) WGS-84 3/Bearing: 052 - N52E .092	
275ft (±18.2ft) 765-84 Bearing: 052: N52E: 092	me: Wed, Dec 09, 2020, 10:35:43 CST
Bearing-052° N52E 092	275(t (+18.2ft)
Anelles elloso	Bearing 052 N52E 0924mils Magnetic (+12°)
Angle: -00.3	Angler-00.3
X	
	A BURNER BURNER
A CAR	
ninte des	
	and the second state
A ST	



Structure:			
SFL Active Are	ea	Date & Time: Wed, Dec 09, 2020, 08:57:11 CS Position: +030.636169° / -096.064131° (±17.5	
Exterior Emba	nkments	Altitude: 269ft (±17.2ft)	
Photo No.:	Date:	Datum: WGS-84 Azimuth/Bearing: 022° N22E_0391mils Mag	netic (+13°)
9	12/9/2020	Elevation Angle: +04.6°	
Photo Direct	i <b>on:</b>	Horizon Angle: -02.6° Zoom: 1.0X	
Ν			
Description:			
Typical anima	l activity,		
indicative of c			- David Martin
observed at v			and the second second
location on th		and the second se	
embankment		A BAR LARD MAR	
Shows current			
used to redire		and the second se	
away from tro			
Tires and bark		The second se	A State of the second sec
periodically re			
force new rou		The second second second	A CONTRACT OF
IOICE NEW IOU	te.	之子。""你是你们的你们,你们	the second s
		ALL NO ALL DO THE ALL A	
Structure:			
SFL Cover Are	2	Date & Time: Wed. Dec 09, 2020, 09:27:41 CS	
Stormwater P		Position: +030.638202° / -096.063348° (±15.6	
Photo No.:	Date:	Altitude: 324ft (±10.9ft) Datum: WGS-84	
10	12/9/2020	Azimuth/Bearing: 078° N78E 1387mils Mag Elevation Angle: -06.1°	
Photo Direct		Horizon Angle: -06.1*	activity (See Photo 11 and Photo 12)
	ion:	Zoom: 1.0X	
E		_	
<b>D</b> · · · ·			
Description:			
Stormwater p	ond	ANTER THE SEALAND	
-	ond		
Stormwater p	ond		

wood.

Structure:		
SFL Pond 1		Date & Time, Wed, Dec 09, 2020, 10:27:05 CST
Photo No.:	Date:	Position: +030,638135° / -096,062518° (±55,5ft) Altitude: 274ft (±24,4ft)
11	12/9/2020	Datum: WGS-84 - Azimuth/Bearing: 009* N09E, 01.60mits Magnetic (±12*)
Photo Direct	ion:	Elevation Angle: -[1,3*
Ν		Horizon Angle: -00.8 Zoom: 1.0X
Description:		
Typical photo	graph of	and the second
erosion at sou	uthwest	Carlos and the second state of the second stat
corner of stor	mwater	and the second
pond. Likely d	lue to animal	and the second
activity.		
		and the second
Structure:		
SFL Pond 1		Date & Time: Wed, Dec 09, 2020, 10:21:09 CST
Photo No.:	Date:	Position: +030,638070° / -096,062496° (±28,6ft) Altitude: 275ft (±17,4ft)
12	12/9/2020	Datum: WGS-84
Photo Direct		Azimuth/Bearing: 111° S69E 1973mils Magnetic (±12°) Elevation Angle06.8°
E		Horizon Angle: +00.7** Zeom: 1.0X
Description:		
Typical photo	graph of	
erosion at sou		
corner of pon	d. Likely	
result of anim		



Structure:		
SFL Active Ar	ea	Date & Time: Wed, Dec 09, 2020, 08:49:47 CST
Access Road		Position: +030,635652° / -096,065803° (±76,4ft) Alfitude: 278ft (±20.0ft)
Photo No.:	Date:	Datum, WGS-84
13	12/9/2020	Azimuth/Bearing: 220° S40W 3911mils Magnetic (±13°) Elevation Angle: -07.0°
Photo Direct		Haritzon Amgle: +101 11*
SW		Zoom: 1.0X
Description:		
Rutting obser	ved on	
access road b		
Pond 3 of the Active Area.		The second se
		and the second s
		and the second of the second o
		and strate of the second strate of the second strate of the
		A MARKEN AT THE AND
Structure:		`
SFL Active Ar	ea	Date & Time, Wed, Dec 09, 2020, 10.41:16 CST
Exterior Emba		Position +030.635667 -096.070277 (±35.3ft) Note previous location of HDPE   Altitude 266ff +19.4ft) Initial Initia Initial Initial
Photo No.:	Date:	Datum W65-84
14	12/9/2020	Azimuth/Bearing: 322 N38W 5724mils Magnetic (+12 ) Elevation Angle = 05.7
Photo Direct		Elevation Angle: -05:7 Horizon Angle: +01:8
NW		Zoom: 1.0X
Description:		
Photograph c	of soft area	
noted at the		
southern em		
	to HDPE pipe	
relocation		
relocation.		

wood.





Structure:		
SFL Concrete	Lined	Date & Time: Wed, Dec 09, 2020, 10:24:24 CST
Drainage Cha	nnel	Position: +030.639516* / -096.062749* (±21.7ft) Altitude: 298ft (±27.6ft)
Photo No.:	Date:	Datum: WGS-84
17	12/9/2020	Azimuth/Bearing: 160° S20E_2844mils Magnetic (±12°) Elevation Angle: -03.2°
Photo Direct		Horizon Angle: -00.4 <sup>o</sup> Zoom: 1.0X
S		
Description:		
Typical condi	tion of	
fabric-formed		
drainage ditc		
observed in t	-	
apron.		
-p		
		a state of the second sec